

	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
1.	<p>The space program, Exhibit I, page 27, lists the number of occupants in two columns, Capacity and Occupancy. The Capacity is 107 occupants and the Occupancy is 94. On the next page, the columns are in reverse order, left to right. The Square Footage Required column is based on the size of each workspace multiplied by the Occupancy number. Was it your intent to multiply the area of each workspace by the Quantity/Capacity number, which is the larger number? If not, what is the significance of the difference in the two columns (13 work spaces)?</p>	<p>The order of the columns has no significance. Our intent is to multiply the Square Footage Required column by the Quantity number - as the Quantity number is the head count at the beginning of the term. The Capacity number accounts for projected future growth.</p>
2.	<p>After reviewing the RFP, it appears that most of the verbiage and deliverables are relative to an existing facility. Does this RFP allow for a proposal for a new facility to be designed specifically for Glynn DFCS?</p>	<p>Nothing in this RFP precludes a build to suite submission.</p>
3.	<p>Also, looking at the schedule of events, the time frame for occupancy is March 2014. Best case scenario, if all events occur on the times listed, the approval to proceed would not be until sometime in October. In order to prepare all legal documents, design, finance, permit, and construct a building, a March occupancy would not be feasible. It would take from 11 to 12 months, depending on the site and the ability to expedite documents to provide a new building. Is the time frame for occupancy flexible enough to allow for a new facility?</p>	<p>The timeline in the RFP is the desired occupancy date of DFCS and SPC. Any alternative date proposed will be taken into account in the evaluation.</p>
4.	<p>Can a Build-to-Suit be proposed?</p>	<p>Nothing in this RFP precludes a build to suite submission.</p>
5.	<p>In section B8 under "previously built space" item (f) refers to "the restrooms being up to code (ADA-2012)." It is our understanding that the ADA that was adopted on March 15, 2012 is actually the 2010 ADA. Please verify.</p>	<p>Correct, in March of 2012, Georgia formally adopted the 2010 ADA which was a nationally mandated standard.</p>
6.	<p>Is there a preference for location i.e. in close proximity to existing DFCS office or a downtown location, etc.?</p>	<p>Proximity to the county courthouse is desired but not a requirement. The selection committee will weigh location with other factors as outlined in the RFP</p>

--	--	--